

# PUBLIC ASSESSMENT REPORT of the Medicines Evaluation Board in the Netherlands

## Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz 50 microgram/dose, nasal spray, suspension Sandoz B.V., the Netherlands

### mometasone furoate monohydrate

This assessment report is published by the MEB pursuant Article 21 (3) and (4) of Directive 2001/83/EC. The report comments on the registration dossier that was submitted to the MEB and its fellow –organisations in all concerned EU member states.

It reflects the scientific conclusion reached by the MEB and all concerned member states at the end of the evaluation process and provides a summary of the grounds for approval of a marketing authorisation.

This report is intended for all those involved with the safe and proper use of the medicinal product, i.e. healthcare professionals, patients and their family and carers. Some knowledge of medicines and diseases is expected of the latter category as the language in this report may be difficult for laymen to understand.

This assessment report shall be updated by a following addendum whenever new information becomes available.

General information on the Public Assessment Reports can be found on the website of the MEB.

To the best of the MEB's knowledge, this report does not contain any information that should not have been made available to the public. The MAH has checked this report for the absence of any confidential information.

# EU-procedure number: NL/H/2038/001/DC Registration number in the Netherlands: RVG 107922

#### 21 February 2013

Pharmacotherapeutic group: decongestants and other nasal preparations for topical use,

corticosteroids

ATC code: R01AD09
Route of administration: nasal

Therapeutic indication: treatment of the symptoms of seasonal allergic or perennial

allergic rhinitis in adults and children 6 years of age and older; prophylactic treatment initiated up to four weeks prior to the anticipated start of the pollen season in patients who have a history of moderate to severe symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis; symptomatic treatment of nasal polyps in adults 18 years

of age and older.

Prescription status: prescription only
Date of authorisation in NL: 30 October 2012

Concerned Member States: Decentralised procedure with BE, CZ, DE, DK, EE, EL, ES, FI,

FR, HU, IT, LU, NO, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK and UK.

Application type/legal basis: Directive 2001/83/EC, Article 10(3)

For product information for healthcare professionals and users, including information on pack sizes and presentations, see Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC), package leaflet and labelling.

#### I INTRODUCTION

Based on the review of the quality, safety and efficacy data, the member states have granted a marketing authorisation for Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz 50 microgram/dose, nasal spray, suspension, from Sandoz B.V. The date of authorisation was 30 October 2012 in the Netherlands.

The product is indicated for:

- use in adults and children 6 years of age and older to treat the symptoms of seasonal allergic or perennial allergic rhinitis.
- in patients who have a history of moderate to severe symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis, prophylactic treatment with mometasone furoate nasal spray may be initiated up to four weeks prior to the anticipated start of the pollen season.
- the symptomatic treatment of nasal polyps in adults 18 years of age and older.

A comprehensive description of the indications and posology is given in the SmPC.

Mometasone furoate is a topical glucocorticosteroid with local anti-inflammatory properties. It is likely that much of the mechanism for the anti-allergic and anti-inflammatory effects of mometasone furoate lies in its ability to inhibit the release of mediators of allergic reactions.

This decentralised procedure concerns a generic application claiming essential similarity with the innovator product Nasonex nasal spray 50 µg/actuation by Schering-Plough Ltd., registered since 10 April 1997 in the United Kingdom. In the Netherlands, Nasonex (NL License RVG 21613) has been registered since 9 December 1997 through MRP UK/H/0196/001. In addition, reference is made to Nasonex authorisations in the individual member states (reference product).

The marketing authorisation is granted based on article 10(3) (hybrid application) of Directive 2001/83/EC.

This type of application refers to information that is contained in the pharmacological-toxicological and clinical part of the dossier of the authorisation of the reference product. A reference product is a medicinal product authorised and marketed on the basis of a full dossier, i.e. including chemical, biological, pharmaceutical, pharmacological-toxicological and clinical data. This information is not fully available in the public domain. Authorisations for hybrid products are therefore linked to the 'original' authorised medicinal product, which is legally allowed once the data protection time of the dossier of the reference product has expired.

A comparative *in vitro* equivalence study was performed, in accordance with European Medicines Agency guidelines (Note for Guidance on the clinical requirements for locally applied, locally acting products containing known constituents (CHMP/EWP/239/95)), which were followed as a premise for the design of the study, with inclusion of additional *in vitro* descriptive parameters which were used for support, and application of statistical evaluation. Based on the results of the *in-vitro* comparison, equivalence is claimed.

In addition, the MAH submitted a clinical study to demonstrate therapeutic equivalence with the originator, Nasonex® nasal spray in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (Study 2008-02) to support the application.

Scientific Advice was given prior to the procedure. The MAH was advised that for locally applied, locally acting products, containing known constituents (applicable to the proposed product and the intranasal route for administration), an *in vitro* approach could be used for demonstration of equivalence, following the principles and under the limitations listed and described in the following Guidelines: CPMP/EWP/239/95 final, CPMP/EWP/4151/00 and CPMP/EWP/4151/00 Rev. 1.

No paediatric development programme has been submitted, as this is not required for a hybrid application.



Agreement between member states could not be reached during the DCP. A CMDh referral was initiated.

#### CMDh referral

A CMDh referral was started because of the following potential serious risks to public health, raised by the concerned member states:

- Failure to show equivalence, as the statistical analysis of the *in vitro* data was not considered adequate. The *in vitro* data is not surrogate of efficacy/safety for nasal suspensions.
- The indication "prophylactic treatment in patients who have a history of moderate to severe symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis up to four weeks prior to the anticipated start of the pollen season" was considered not acceptable as the benefit-risk ratio for this indication was considered negative by some member states.

As the CMDh could not reach agreement on the acceptability of one of the spray pumps based on the submitted data, the procedure was referred to the CHMP on 23 February 2012.

#### CHMP referral

The grounds for the referral were concerns over the type of *in vitro* (experimental) studies carried out to demonstrate that Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz is comparable to Nasonex. The Spanish agency considered that the results from the *in vitro* studies could not be used to predict how well the medicine would work in patients. In addition, there were concerns over the methodology used to analyse these *in vitro* results. The CHMP recognised some of the concerns, but concluded that overall it was sufficiently demonstrated

The CHMP recognised some of the concerns, but concluded that overall it was sufficiently demonstrated that possible differences between Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz and the reference medicine would not affect the benefit-risk balance of the product. Therefore the CHMP recommended that the marketing authorisation be granted in the concerned member states.

#### II SCIENTIFIC OVERVIEW AND DISCUSSION

#### II.1 Quality aspects

#### **Compliance with Good Manufacturing Practice**

The MEB has been assured that acceptable standards of GMP (see Directive 2003/94/EC) are in place for this product type at all sites responsible for the manufacturing of the active substance as well as for the manufacturing and assembly of this product prior to granting its national authorisation.

#### **Active substance**

The active substance is mometasone furoate monohydrate, an established active substance, not described in any pharmacopoeia. The anhydrous form is described in the European (Ph.Eur.\*) and US Pharmacopoeia. The active substance is practically insoluble in water, soluble in acetone and in methylene chloride, and slightly soluble in ethanol (96%). Polymorphism has been adequately discussed and controlled. It is stated to be absent as only the monohydrate form is produced consistently. Isomerism is not present.

The Active Substance Master File (ASMF) procedure is used for the active substance. The main objective of the ASMF procedure, commonly known as the European Drug Master File (EDMF) procedure, is to allow valuable confidential intellectual property or 'know-how' of the manufacturer of the active substance (ASM) to be protected, while at the same time allowing the applicant or marketing authorisation holder (MAH) to take full responsibility for the medicinal product, the quality and quality control of the active substance. Competent Authorities/EMA thus have access to the complete information that is necessary to evaluate the suitability of the use of the active substance in the medicinal product.

#### Manufacturing process

A synthetic scheme and a brief description of the manufacturing process have been provided, including chemical structures and all solvents and reagents.

#### Quality control of drug substance

$$\frac{\mathbf{C} \quad \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{G}}{M \quad E^{\quad B}}$$

The drug substance specification is based on the Ph.Eur. monograph of mometasone furoate anhydrous and the specifications of the manufacturers with an additional test on particle size and microbial contamination. The specifications are acceptable in view of the route of synthesis and the Ph.Eur. Batch analytical data demonstrating compliance with the drug substance specification have been provided.

#### Stability of drug substance

Stability data on the active substance from one manufacturer have been provided for three production-scale batches, stored at 25°C/60%RH (2 years), 30°C/65%RH (12 months) and 40°C/75% RH (6 months). All results remain within limits. Based on the stability data presented, the claimed retest period of 3 years is deemed acceptable.

For the other manufacturer, stability data on the active substance have been provided for three pilot-scale batches, stored up to 12 months. No impurities were found and variability was observed for assay. The results demonstrate compliance with the specification .Based on the stability data presented, the claimed retest period of 12 months is deemed acceptable. The storage condition is 'store in a freezer in the original container in order to protect from light'.

\* Ph.Eur. is an official handbook (pharmacopoeia) in which methods of analysis with specifications for substances are laid down by the authorities of the EU.

#### **Medicinal Product**

#### Composition

The drug product is a white, homogenous nasal spray suspension, containing mometasone furoate monohydrate equivalent to 0.05 w/w % of mometasone furoate calculated on anhydrous basis. Per actuation (100 mg suspension), 50 µg active substance is administered.

The nasal spray suspension is packed in a white high density polyethylene (HDPE) plastic bottle fitted with a PE/PP nasal spray pump.

Nasal spray pump with nasal actuator, protection cap and dip tube is fixed to the bottle neck. The filling amounts are NLT 17.0 g suspension (120 doses) and NLT 18.0 g suspension (140 doses). Two different spray pump devices are used, The suitability of both types of pumps has been demonstrated.

The excipients are: microcrystalline cellulose (E460), carmellose sodium (E468), glycerol (E442), citric acid monohydrate (E330), sodium citrate dihydrate (E331), polysorbate 80 (E433), benzalkonium chloride and purified water.

The type and amount of excipients are usual for this type of dosage form.

#### Pharmaceutical development

The development of the product has been described, the choice of excipients is justified and their functions explained. The particle size and polymorphic form of the active substance have been discussed and are controlled in the MAH's specification of the active substance.

Comparability of the test product with the reference product has been tested on *in vitro* parameters as it concerns a nasal spray with local action. Based on the *in vitro* study, it is concluded that the test product can be considered to be comparable to the reference product. The test product has the same qualitative and quantitative composition as the reference product.

The single actuation content, mean delivered dose, delivered dose uniformity, spray pattern (plume geometry, ovality, area), nasal deposition by artificial nose studies, droplet size distribution and particle size distribution have been demonstrated to be similar between the test and reference product, tested over the entire container life, where necessary, using validated analytical methods and models. It is noted that both proposed nasal spray pumps of the test and reference product have different dimensions, but the suitability of both pumps has been demonstrated.

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the proposed drug products are suitable in use with respect to in-use stability, efficacy of preservative, tail-off profiles, priming and repriming instructions, cleaning, shaking and robustness.

$$\frac{c \ B \ G}{M \ E^{\ B}}$$

The manufacturing process development, the choice and safety of the container closure system and the microbiological attributes have been adequately discussed. The suspension contains the preservative agent benzalkonium chloride which is the same agent and amount as present in the innovator product.

#### Manufacturing process

The drug product is manufactured by preparation and subsequent filling of the suspension. The provided in-process controls are deemed acceptable. The manufacturing process has been adequately validated according to relevant European guidelines. The product is manufactured using conventional manufacturing techniques, but given the low concentration of active substance in the drug product, the manufacturing process is considered as non-standard. The maximum batch size is acceptable.

#### Control of excipients

All excipients are tested in accordance with their respective Ph.Eur. monograph. These specifications are acceptable. For the Avicel mixture (microcrystalline cellulose and croscarmellose sodium), particle size is also controlled..

#### Quality control of drug product

The drug product specification includes tests for appearance, pH, relative density, number of actuations per container, average dose, delivered dose uniformity, viscosity, droplet size distribution, identification (TLC and HPLC for API and HPLC for benzalkonium chloride), assay (API and benzalkonium chloride), related substances and microbiological requirements. The shelf-life specifications are the same as the release specification, except for the wider limits for assay of (benzalkonium chloride and related substances. The shelf-life limit for assay of the active substance is the same as the release limit. A test on the particle size distribution of the active substance is included in the drug product specification. The analytical methods have been adequately described and validated. Batch analytical data from the proposed production site have been provided, demonstrating compliance with the release specifications.

#### Stability of drug product

Stability data on the drug product have been provided on seven production-scale batches, stored at 25°C/60% RH (up to 12 months) and 40°C/75%RH (6 months). The conditions used in the stability studies are according to the ICH stability guideline. The batches were stored in 20 mL white HDPE plastic bottles. The data support a shelf-life of 24 months. A photostability study has been performed. Compliance with the NfG on photostability testing, ICH Q1B, has been demonstrated. The drug product is sensitive to light outside the packaging, but light-protection of the container has been demonstrated. The storage condition "Do not freeze" can be accepted. The in-use stability data support the claimed shelf-life after first use of 2 months and the transportation stability data show relative stability of the product when exposed to 50°C or 2-8°C.

<u>Specific measures concerning the prevention of the transmission of animal spongiform encephalopathies</u>
There are no substances of ruminant animal origin present in the product nor have any been used in the manufacturing of this product, so a theoretical risk of transmitting TSE can be excluded.

#### II.2 Non-clinical aspects

This product is a generic formulation of Nasonex nasal spray 50  $\mu$ g/actuation, which is available on the European market. A non-clinical overview on the pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and toxicology has been provided, which is based on up-to-date and adequate scientific literature. The overview justifies why there is no need to generate additional non-clinical pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and toxicology data. Therefore, the member states agreed that no further non-clinical studies are required.

The available preclinical information suggests that mometasone furoate has a safety pharmacology and toxicity profile that will not preclude its clinical use according to the restrictions addressed in the SmPC. The characteristics of mometasone furoate are adequately reflected in the SmPC and the indications and precautions for the use of this drug are justified by its pharmacological properties. The benefit-risk profile of mometasone furoate is considered to remain favourable from a non-clinical point of view, provided that this medicinal product is used according to the SmPC. Overall it can be stated that the investigations



performed on mometasone furoate cover all aspects of safety assessment required, and can therefore demonstrate an acceptable level of safety for mometasone furoate under the conditions stipulated in the SmPC.

#### **Environmental risk assessment**

The product is intended as a substitute for other identical products on the market. The approval of this product will not result in an increase in the total quantity of mometasone furoate released into the environment. It does not contain any component, which results in an additional hazard to the environment during storage, distribution, use and disposal.

#### II.3 Clinical aspects

Mometasone nasal spray is a locally applied, locally acting product. For a generic application of a locally acting product such as mometasone nasal spray, comparability should be demonstrated. In general comparability for locally acting products is demonstrated in clinical studies. The EMA Note for Guidance on the clinical requirements for locally applied, locally acting products containing known constituents for demonstration of therapeutic equivalence, however, allows to use *in vitro* models as alternatives for clinical trials.

The MAH submitted an application for Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz 50 mcg/dose, in the treatment of the symptoms of seasonal allergic or perennial rhinitis and of nasal polyps, as a nasal spray with two different spray pump devices (Device 1 and Device 2). The MAH provided *in vitro* data for both devices, however only Device 1 was investigated *in vivo*.

For mometasone nasal spray, the RMS has considered proof of therapeutic equivalence based on *in vitro* comparison valid, because the dose response curve for mometasone nasal products is very flat, hence the assay sensitivity of a clinical efficacy study with mometasone is low. In contrast to orally inhaled products, a nasal spray is released at the site of action. A pharmacokinetic study will not provide information on the local pattern of deposition in the nose, and a pharmacokinetic study can only support equivalence with respect to safety but can not support equivalence with respect to efficacy. Daley-Yates et al. (2004)<sup>1</sup> have studied the pharmacokinetics of mometasone nasal spray following 8 actuations per nostril every 8 hours for 4 days. Subjects were administered 2400 µg/day during 4 days in order to obtain plasma levels above the detection limit. Maximal recommended dose of mometasone nasal spray is 400 µg/day. The contribution of absorption of mometasone in the nose vs. oral absorption of mometasone is not known. Therefore, the RMS considers a pharmacokinetic equivalence study using mometasone nasal spray technically very challenging due to the very low mometasone plasma concentrations and the high number of actuations needed. Moreover, a pharmacokinetic equivalence study with mometasone nasal spray could only support equivalence with respect to safety but could not support equivalence with respect to efficacy.

The RMS considers and agrees with the EMA advice, that the concept of demonstrating therapeutic equivalence based on *in vitro* equivalence can be also applicable to mometasone nasal sprays. While the reference member state considered both devices to be approvable, some concerned member state (CMS) did not consider *in vitro* data to be a valid surrogate of equivalence for nasal suspensions and therefore considered that equivalence had not been demonstrated for Device 2.

For Device 1 a clinical randomized, placebo controlled, four week parallel study comparing Mometasone nasal spray with Nasonex® nasal spray and placebo in patients with seasonal allergic rhinitis (study 2008-02) was provided in order to prove therapeutic equivalence. After a two week run in period, symptomatic patients were treated for four weeks. The primary endpoint was thechange from baseline in the reflective total nasal symptoms score (rTNSS). The TNSS is the composite variable calculated as the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Daley-Yates PT, Kunka RL, Yin Y, Andrews SM, Callejas S, Ng C. 'Bioavailability of fluticasone propionate and mometasone furoate aqueous nasal sprays', Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2004 Jun;60(4):265-8.

$$\frac{\mathbf{C} \quad \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{G}}{M \quad E^{\quad B}}$$

sum of 4 nasal symptoms; the rTNSS is a reflection of the mean, overall intensity of the symptoms over the last 24 hours.

The study demonstrated no significant difference between the test and the reference product for both primary endpoints i.e. mean change rTNSS (difference LS mean (± SE) 0.09 (95% CI (-0.44, 0.62)) in the PP populations and difference in LS mean -0.11 (95% CI (-0.65, 0.43)) in the ITT population. The 95% CI is within the pre-specified equivalence limits of -1.0 to +1.0 as agreed with EMA in the scientific advice of 2009 (EMEA/CHMP/SWAP/288058/2009). A statistically significant effect between both active treatments and placebo (Nasonex® Nasal spray p=0.0019; Mometasone p=0.0053 ITT population) was demonstrated, so the study is assay sensitive. As a result, therapeutic equivalence for efficacy is considered demonstrated.

During the study, no differences in local and systemic adverse events were observed. No major safety issue emerged. In the urine cortisol no statistically significant difference between the test and the reference product has been demonstrated.

Several member states questioned whether recommending to arbitrarily initiate nasal steroids 4 weeks before any (uncertain) beginning of a pollen season would really provide a significant benefit to control symptoms. To date, no study has addressed the question whether mometasone furoate is clinically more effective when prophylactically given compared with regular treatment. Therefore, these member states raised an objection with regard to the claimed prophylactic indication.

Agreement between member states could not be reached during the DCP. A CMDh referral was initiated on 25 December 2011.

#### CMDh referral

Grounds for referral to CMDh

The following concerns were raised:

- Failure to show equivalence is considered a Potential Serious Risk to Public Health. The statistical
  analysis of the *in vitro* data is not considered adequate. The *in vitro* data is not surrogate of
  efficacy/safety for nasal suspensions.
- The indication "prophylactic treatment in patients who have a history of moderate to severe symptoms of seasonal allergic rhinitis up to four weeks prior to the anticipated start of the pollen season" is not acceptable as the benefit-risk ratio for this indication is considered negative. The prophylactic indication claimed will unduly expose patients to corticosteroids with a not acceptable benefit/risk balance (no clinical trials).

#### Outcome

At the CMDh no agreement could be reached on the acceptability of one of the spray pumps based on the submitted data.

With regard to the claimed prophylactic indication, no further regulatory action is foreseen for this product as disharmonisation of indications should be discussed in a separate appropriate procedure between the member states and MAH of the innovator product.

On 23 February 2012 the procedure was referred to the CHMP under article 29(4) of Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended.

#### CHMP referral

Grounds for referral to CHMP

The grounds for the referral were concerns over the type of *in vitro* (experimental) studies carried out to demonstrate that Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz is comparable to Nasonex (that it produces the same levels of the active substance in the nose as Nasonex). The Spanish agency considered that the results from the *in vitro* studies could not be used to predict how well the medicine would work in patients. In addition, there were concerns over the methodology used to analyse these *in vitro* results.

#### CHMP opinion and conclusion

Based on the evaluation of the currently available data and the scientific discussion within the Committee, the CHMP recognised some of the concerns of the Spanish agency, but concluded that overall it was

$$\frac{c \ B \ G}{M \ E^{\ B}}$$

sufficiently demonstrated that possible differences between Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz and the reference medicine would not affect the benefit-risk balance of the product, taking into account all the *in vitro* data:

- the CHMP considered that the results of the conducted *in vitro* comparisons confirm that the particle size distribution as well as the locations and patterns of deposition of the suspension in the nose of the proposed products and the reference product are comparable.
- the CHMP considered particle size distribution and location and pattern of deposition to be adequate indicators of dissolubility and therefore concluded that the proposed and the reference products have comparable dissolution properties.
- the CHMP therefore considered it adequately demonstrated that potential differences between the proposed product fitted either with the Device 1 pump (investigated *in vivo*) or with the Device 2 pump (only investigated *in vitro*) and the reference product do not affect the safety and efficacy of the proposed products, based on the available *in vitro* evidence and further supported by the clinical data obtained using the proposed product fitted with the Device 1 spray pump.

Also, data from a clinical study provided additional evidence that Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz nasal spray works as well as Nasonex nasal spray in patients.

The CHMP therefore concluded that the benefits of Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz outweigh its risks and recommended that the marketing authorisation be granted in the concerned Member States. See also 'Questions and answers on Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz', EMA Doc. Ref. EMA/476365/2012 and the European Commission decision dated 8 October 2012, Doc. Ref. C(2012)7151.

#### **Product information**

#### **SmPC**

The content of the SmPC approved during the decentralised procedure is in accordance with those accepted for other mometasone furoate products.

#### Readability test

The package leaflet has been evaluated via a user consultation study in accordance with the requirements of Articles 59(3) and 61(1) of Directive 2001/83/EC. The test consisted of two rounds of testing including a pilot test. The tested population was on average overgualified.

The questions covered the following areas sufficiently: traceability, comprehensibility and applicability. No weaknesses have been identified. Overall, each and every question meets the criterion of 81% correct answers. The readability test has been sufficiently performed.



#### III OVERALL CONCLUSION AND BENEFIT-RISK ASSESSMENT

Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz 50 microgram/dose, nasal spray, suspension has a proven chemical-pharmaceutical quality and is a generic form of Nasonex nasal spray 50  $\mu$ g/actuation. Nasonex is a well-known medicinal product with an established favourable efficacy and safety profile.

Mometasone nasal spray is a locally applied, locally acting product. For a generic application of a locally acting product such as mometasone nasal spray, it is exempted for a biostudy. Comparability has been demonstrated based on *in vitro* data. Furthermore, a clinical study was performed to establish therapeutic equivalence with the innovator. The study demonstrated no significant difference between the test and the reference product.

The MAH has provided written confirmation that systems and services are in place to ensure compliance with their pharmacovigilance obligations.

The SmPC, package leaflet and labelling are in the agreed templates and are in agreement with other mometasone containing products.

Agreement between member states could not be reached during the DCP. A CMDh referral was initiated on 25 December 2011.

In the CMDh meeting, the RMS presented its view and the MAH's written response was discussed. No agreement was reached at the meeting, and therefore on 23 February 2012 an art 29(4) referral to the CHMP was initiated.

During the CHMP referral it was concluded that overall it was sufficiently demonstrated that possible differences between Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz and the reference medicine would not affect the benefit-risk balance of the product.

In the meeting in July 2012, the CHMP, in the light of the overall data submitted and the scientific discussion within the Committee, was of the opinion that the benefits of Mometasonfuroaat Sandoz outweigh its risks, and recommended that the marketing authorisation be granted in the concerned Member States. The positive Commission Decision was issued on 8 October 2012.

The date for the first renewal will be: 16 December 2016.

The following post-approval commitments have been made during the procedure:

#### Quality - active substance

- As the specification of the drug substance of the ASMF-holder has changed, the MAH should include additional tests and limits in the drug substance specification.
- The microbiological tests will be validated and the validation data and batch analysis results submitted as soon as available.

#### Quality - medicinal product

- The MAH commits to continue the long term stability testing up to the approved shelf-life. The authorities will be informed immediately in case out of specification results are observed.
- The MAH commits to start an in-use study at the end of the shelf-life in order to confirm the suitability of the approved in-use period. The authorities will be informed immediately in case out of specification results are observed.
- The MAH commits to evaluate the preservative efficacy at the end of shelf-life and after simulated in-use stability. The authorities will be informed immediately in case out of specification results are observed.
- The tests for droplet size distribution and mean delivered dose will be performed at the 24-month time-point and results will be submitted.

# $\frac{\mathbf{C} \quad \mathbf{B} \quad \mathbf{G}}{M \quad E^{B}}$

#### List of abbreviations

ASMF Active Substance Master File

ATC Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification

AUC Area Under the Curve BP British Pharmacopoeia

CEP Certificate of Suitability to the monographs of the European Pharmacopoeia

CHMP Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use

CI Confidence Interval

C<sub>max</sub> Maximum plasma concentration

CMDh Coordination group for Mutual recognition and Decentralised procedure for

human medicinal products

CV Coefficient of Variation
DCP Decentralised procedure
EDMF European Drug Master File

EDQM European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines

EU European Union
GCP Good Clinical Practice
GLP Good Laboratory Practice
GMP Good Manufacturing Practice

ICH International Conference of Harmonisation

MAH Marketing Authorisation Holder

MEB Medicines Evaluation Board in the Netherlands

OTC Over The Counter (to be supplied without prescription)

PAR Public Assessment Report Ph.Eur. European Pharmacopoeia

PIL Package Leaflet

PSUR Periodic Safety Update Report

SD Standard Deviation

SmPC Summary of Product Characteristics

 $t_{1/2}$  Half-life

 $t_{\text{max}}$  Time for maximum concentration

TSE Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy USP Pharmacopoeia in the United States

### STEPS TAKEN AFTER THE FINALISATION OF THE INITIAL PROCEDURE - SUMMARY

Scope	Procedure	Type of	Date of start	Date of	Approval/	Assessment
	number	modification	of the	end of the	non	report
			procedure	procedure	approval	attached